

# Beef Producers Panic Over mRNA Vaccine News

By [Dr. Joseph Mercola](#)

Global Research, May 26, 2023

[Mercola](#)

Region: [USA](#)

Theme: [Science and Medicine](#)

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the Translate Website button below the author's name.

To receive Global Research's Daily Newsletter (selected articles), [click here](#).

Click the share button above to email/forward this article to your friends and colleagues. Follow us on [Instagram](#) and [Twitter](#) and subscribe to our [Telegram Channel](#). Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

First published on April 17, 2023

\*\*\*

*Pork producers have been using customizable mRNA-based "vaccines" on their herds since 2018, without telling the public*

*All customized mRNA "vaccines" are untested. Only the mRNA platform itself has been approved*

*According to the National Cattlemen's Beef Association, "there are no current mRNA vaccines licensed for use in beef cattle in the United States." However, a lobbyist for the association claims to have "double-vaccinated" his own herd with an mRNA "vaccine" against bovine respiratory disease*

*Iowa State University began trialing an mRNA "vaccine" against bovine respiratory syncytial virus October 1, 2021*

*Missouri House Bill 1169 would require labeling of products that can alter your genes. Big Ag lobbyists strongly oppose it*

\*

Last week, I reported that [pork producers have been using customizable mRNA-based "vaccines"](#) on their herds since 2018<sup>1</sup> — without telling the public. This issue really only rose to the surface after attorney Tom Renz started promoting new legislation in Missouri (House Bill 1169,<sup>2</sup> which he helped write) that would require labeling of mRNA products.<sup>3</sup> In an April 1, 2023, tweet (and no, this was not an April Fool's joke), Renz stated:<sup>4</sup>

"BREAKING NEWS: the lobbyists for the cattleman and pork associations in several

states have CONFIRMED they WILL be using mRNA vaccines in pigs and cows THIS MONTH. WE MUST SUPPORT MISSOURI HB1169. It is LITERALLY the ONLY chance we have to prevent this ... NO ONE knows the impacts of doing this but we are all potentially facing the risk of being a #DiedSuddenly if we don't stop this."

## The Transhumanist Agenda and Its Focus on Food

Within days, alternative media was abuzz with this story and Renz started making the rounds sharing evidence that shows the U.S. government has been working on the integration of vaccines into foods for at least two decades. In an April 2, 2023, interview with Naomi Wolf, Ph.D., Renz said:<sup>5</sup>

"[Bill] Gates, the WHO [World Health Organization], a ton of these universities: they're all talking about including mRNA vaccinations as part of the food. They're going to modify the genes of these foods to make them mRNA vaccines."

## Industry Doesn't Want You to Know What They're Doing

The pushback by Big Ag lobbyists against this bill to require industry transparency on this important issue has been enormous, and one potential reason for that is because they'd have to admit that all sorts of foods may have been vaccinated with mRNA vaccines, have genetic modifications, or be modified to serve as vaccinations for humans.

Not only might this destroy Big Ag, but it would also seriously impact any surreptitious attempts by Big Pharma to use the food supply as a tool to distribute vaccines unbeknownst to consumers.<sup>6</sup> In short, Renz suspects the globalists' transhumanist agenda is being secretly pushed forward using gene therapy in food production.

I can't help but wonder whether the industry simply doesn't understand how mRNA gene therapy in livestock might affect consumers, or whether they want to conceal the fact that they're using gene therapy because they DO know it can also affect humans.

Globalists like World Economic Forum founder Klaus Schwab have openly admitted that they intend to alter humanity — both on the genetic level and through the incorporation of artificial intelligence and nanotechnology into the human body.

And, using COVID-19 as the cover, they managed to turn the entire world population into test subjects for this dangerous experimentation. As noted by Renz in the Real America's Voice interview above, we know for a fact that the mRNA COVID shots have no beneficial impact in terms of preventing COVID infection.

## mRNA 'Vaccines' in Livestock Are Untested

If the mRNA COVID shots don't prevent infection, why would we assume mRNA shots for viral and bacterial infections in swine work any better? One of the most disturbing aspects of using mRNA "vaccines" in swine is the fact that all of them are by definition untested. As explained by Merck on its website, its "custom swine vaccine,"

Sequivity, is not a vaccine but, rather, a platform that allows for the endless customization of "mRNA vaccines." The customized mRNA shots that are created using it are completely

untested. Here's how it works:<sup>7</sup>

1. A pathogen is collected and sent to a diagnostic lab.
2. The gene of interest is sequenced and sent electronically to Sequivity analysts.
3. A synthetic version of the gene of interest is synthesized and inserted into the RNA production platform.
4. The RNA particles released from incubated production cells are harvested and formulated into a customized "vaccine."

As noted by Zoetis, the largest producer of veterinary drugs and vaccines:<sup>8</sup> *"Sequivity has safety and efficacy studies based on the platform with a historical initial isolate, not likely the isolate that customers would be requesting in their product."*

What was that initial isolate? Will mRNA against a bacterial disease affect the animals in the same way that mRNA against a viral infection does? What "genes of interest" are being chosen? If spike proteins are selected, might they be as pathogenic as the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein?

In CAFO environments with high populations of animals, won't mutations become rampant as the bacteria and viruses are subjected to constant "customized" vaccination pressure to adapt and become more dangerous? These are just some of the questions that need answers.

At the end of the day, the fact that mRNA shots can be endlessly customized without safety testing shows just how broken the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the Food and Drug Administration are.

There's simply no way they can guarantee that customized mRNA shots are safe. The fact that the platform itself works and allows for this customization does NOT prove the safety and effectiveness of the shots being cooked up. Approval of the platform also does not prove the food is safe for consumption after it's been treated with mRNA.

## Cows Milk Used to Immunize Mice

As reported by Dr. Peter McCullough,<sup>9</sup> Chinese researchers have demonstrated that food can indeed be turned into a vaccine.<sup>10</sup>

"The nation's food supply can be manipulated by public health agencies to influence population outcomes ... Now an oral route of administration is being considered specifically for COVID-19 vaccination using mRNA in cow's milk.

Zhang and colleagues have demonstrated that a shortened mRNA code of 675 base pairs could be loaded into phospholipid packets called exosomes derived from milk and then using that same milk, be fed to mice.

The mice gastrointestinal tract absorbed the exosomes and the mRNA must have made it into the blood stream and lymphatic tissue because antibodies were produced in fed mice against SARS-CoV-2 Spike protein (receptor binding domain) ...

[G]iven the damage mRNA vaccines have generated in terms of injuries, disabilities,

and deaths, these data raise considerable ethical issues. The COVID States project has shown that 25% of Americans were successful in remaining unvaccinated.

This group would have strong objections to mRNA in the food supply, particularly if it was done surreptitiously or with minimal labelling/warnings ...

For those who have taken one of the COVID-19 vaccines, having milk vaccines as an EUA offering would allow even more loading of the body with synthetic mRNA which has been proven resistant to ribonucleases and may reside permanently in the human body.

These observations lead me to conclude that mRNA technology has just entered a whole new, much darker phase of development. Expect more research on and resistance to mRNA in our food supply. The Chinese have just taken the first of what will probably be many more dangerous steps for the world."

## Will Beef Be Treated With mRNA Too?

At present, there's no evidence to suggest beef cattle are being treated with customizable mRNA "vaccines," either in Europe<sup>11</sup> or the U.S. The National Cattlemen's Beef Association has also denied it, saying "there are no current mRNA vaccines licensed for use in beef cattle in the United States."<sup>12,13</sup>

That said, the Cattlemen's Association previously confirmed they do, eventually, intend to use mRNA shots in cattle,<sup>14,15</sup> which might affect both dairy and beef. Time will tell whether public outrage will halt such plans. Clearly, the Cattlemen's Association is concerned about internet rumors that it's already in use.

April 3, 2023, Texas Department of Agriculture commissioner Sid Miller issued a statement promising to conduct a risk assessment of the technology before its adoption:<sup>16</sup>

"Since news of the development of mRNA vaccines and mRNA-related treatments for livestock came to the attention of the Texas Department of Agriculture, we have been working towards developing a fact and science-based assessment of the risks associated with this technology.

Our analysis will include the clinical research, the structure of existing Texas law, and the public policy, economic, and production impact of the different policy prescriptions we may adopt. I aim to ensure that Texas agriculture remains safe, trusted, healthy, and wholly uninfected by dangerous or unproven technology.

I personally take this issue very seriously. No political hot takes. Just a well-reasoned and well-researched proposal based on a wide range of input from stakeholders, scientists, agriculturalists, and other experts. We are looking at this issue at TDA and will share your concerns. Please stay tuned ..."

## Confusion Caused by Cattlemen's Association Lobbyist

Here is where the National Cattlemen's Association says no there is no [#mRNA](https://t.co/k7cnEQzxH0) in our cows: <https://t.co/k7cnEQzxH0>. Below are clips (edited for time) from the

testimony of the lobbyist from the Missouri Cattlemen's Association before the [#Missouri](#) House. Hmm - seems they aren't... [pic.twitter.com/dCE9yCJKBv](https://pic.twitter.com/dCE9yCJKBv)

— Tom Renz (@RenzTom) [April 10, 2023](#)

What's causing significant confusion on this issue is a statement made by National Cattlemen's Beef Association lobbyist Shannon Cooper<sup>17</sup> before the Missouri House.<sup>18</sup> Cooper told the House members he had recently "double-vaccinated" his herd with "vaccinations that have this mRNA." According to Cooper, the mRNA "vaccine" given was for bovine respiratory disease.

Is he confused? Did he mistakenly believe the vaccine he gave had mRNA in it? Or is the National Cattlemen's Beef Association incorrect in stating there are no approved mRNA vaccines for cattle in the U.S.? Or, are experimental mRNA shots being used without approval? Who knows at this point?

What we do know is that mRNA "vaccines" against bovine respiratory disease are being developed. Iowa State University began trialing an mRNA "vaccine" against bovine respiratory syncytial virus October 1, 2021.<sup>19</sup> The project end date is listed as September 30, 2026.

According to the trial submission, mice would be used to establish proof of concept. Cows would be used in year two of the trial. Assuming they're on schedule, that means cows will be experimented on somewhere in late 2023 and/or 2024.

## Is mRNA Jabbed Livestock Safe to Eat?

Considering health authorities insist the COVID shots are safe, it's no wonder they also insist there are no problems associated with eating mRNA-treated meat. But can we trust them? What about the cells now hijacked by the foreign mRNA instruction to create novel proteins? Are these proteins safe to consume? How long are the nano-lipid particles preserved in the tissue?

Livestock such as swine are routinely vaccinated against several diseases,<sup>20</sup> and many of these vaccines must be administered at specific times to ensure there's no residue left in the meat. So, just when are swine receiving these customized mRNA shots? And could there be mRNA vaccine remnants in the pork you buy?

Vaccines are nearly always given in the hindquarter of the animal, and according to mRNA jab developers, the mRNA remains at the injection site. This theory has long since been proven false, as the mRNA in the COVID jab gets has been shown to be distributed throughout the human body.

But it makes sense that the mRNA might be more concentrated at the injection site. In livestock, this could be bad news, seeing how the hindquarters are usually where the prime cuts of meat come from.

So, knowing whether there's any mRNA left in the animal at the time of slaughter is important. At present, we have no way of knowing this. We don't even know exactly how long the synthetic lipid-enveloped mRNA stays in the body.

We also don't know how long the antigen produced by the animal's cells in response to a customized mRNA shot sticks around, and whether ingesting that antigen might have repercussions for human health.

Stanford researchers found the spike protein produced in response to the COVID shot remains in the human body for at least 60 days,<sup>21,22</sup> and the spike protein is what's causing most of the health problems associated with the jab. Could the same be true for mRNA jabs used in animals? Hogs can be killed anywhere from the age of 6 weeks to 10 months, which doesn't allow a whole lot of time for the mRNA and/or antigen to get flushed out.<sup>23</sup>

## Notorious Industry Mouthpiece Defends Livestock mRNA Jabs

Aside from the many open questions, the fact that notorious Big Pharma mouthpieces are the ones cited by media, ensuring us that mRNA jabbed animals are safe to eat is yet another red flag. In this case, we have Dr. Kevin Folta insisting the mRNA is harmless.

Folta, a University of Florida horticulture professor, is a longtime advocate for genetically modified organisms (GMOs). He has also advocated for the safety of glyphosate, and in 2015, he was caught lying about his financial ties to Monsanto. Now, he's taken up the advocacy for mRNA shots in livestock. As reported by Cowboy State Daily:<sup>24</sup>

"Lawmakers in Arizona, Idaho, and Missouri have introduced legislation related to the use of mRNA vaccines in food. The Arizona bill only restricts labeling such food as organic. The Idaho bill amends state law to prohibit the sale of such foods unless conspicuously labeled that the presence of the vaccine is in the food.

The Missouri bill requires a conspicuous 'Gene Therapy Product' label. Dr. Kevin Folta told Cowboy State Daily the proposed 'gene therapy' label is inaccurate.

It 'means they have no idea what they are trying to regulate,' Folta said, because 'there is no integration into the DNA. It's a transient set of instructions, like a USB drive. Not a hard drive' ... Messenger RNA occurs naturally as part of the function of cells in the body. 'mRNA is everywhere, and you can't live without mRNA,' Folta said ...

Folta said that the vaccines can't get into the food people eat. 'mRNA is an extremely unstable molecule. That's why it works. It's very temporary. So when an animal is slaughtered or when a plant dies, mRNA is the first thing to go,' Folta said."

Many of you will know exactly what's wrong with Folta's arguments that mRNA is "everywhere" and therefore harmless, and that its activity is temporary because it's so unstable. The mRNA in the shots is synthetic and does NOT break down the way normal mRNA does.

He is clearly misleading people, and it's hard to believe it's not intentional, considering the fact that everyone who knows even the slightest bit about mRNA jab technology knows the synthetic mRNA has been designed to prevent rapid breakdown and is further stabilized by the nanolipid. So, Folta's arguments are null and void from the get-go.

## Final Thoughts

Moving forward, it's going to be extremely important to stay on top of what's happening to



our food supply. Many of us were surprised to realize mRNA shots have been used in swine for several years already. Soon, cattle may get these customizable mRNA shots as well, which could affect both beef and dairy products.

For now, I strongly recommend avoiding pork products. In addition to the uncertainty surrounding these untested mRNA “vaccines,” pork is also very high in [linoleic acid, a harmful omega-6 fat that drives chronic disease](#). Hopefully, cattle ranchers will realize the danger this mRNA platform poses to their bottom-line and reject it. If they don’t finding beef and dairy that has not been “gene therapied” could become quite the challenge.

There is talk about domesticated food animals soon being vaccinated with [#mRNA](#). We want our customers to know that we will not vaccinate our animals with [#mRNAvaccines](#). We believe there is a time and place for vaccinations, but they must be used sparingly. If livestock are raised... [pic.twitter.com/pcN5fbxeOY](https://pic.twitter.com/pcN5fbxeOY)

— White Oak Pastures (@whiteoakpasture) [April 10, 2023](#)

[Will Harris, from White Oak Pasture in Bluffton Georgia](#), is a rancher who has already come out against mRNA “vaccines” in cattle. An April 10, 2023, White Oak Pastures tweet stated:<sup>25</sup>

“There is talk about domesticated food animals soon being vaccinated with mRNA. We want our customers to know that we will not vaccinate our animals with mRNA vaccines. We believe there is a time and place for vaccinations, but they must be used sparingly.

If livestock are raised in an environment where they can express their natural instincts, they probably wont need many (if any) vaccines. We hope to one day move away from all vaccines on our farm — we are close, but not there yet.

Everyone should know that over 80% of the antibiotics produced today are consumed by domesticated food animals ... It would only make sense that in order for vaccine companies to move from ‘very profitable’ to ‘obscenely profitable’ would be to capture the animal agriculture market.

I’m not sure that this would ever pass legislation, but law or not, Big Ag is highly influenced by Big Pharma. The multinational meat companies would certainly choose to mandate this if there was an opportunity for a shared profit.

In closing, please know: We don’t believe in a blanket, one-size-fits-all approach to our health or our livestock. We will not add vaccinations for our livestock — we are moving in the other direction hoping to give fewer. (And, we already give very few).

If this is adopted and there is a ‘panic’ for food from livestock that hasn’t had the MRNA vaccine, we will choose to honor the demand from our loyalty members and employees first. If you are not a part of that group, we cannot guarantee we will have any product for you.

We are certainly not trying to promote panic — but, we do intend to notify our customers of how we will operate in times of growing demand. We screwed up during

the pandemic — we won't do that again."

## Think Globally, Act Locally

National vaccine policy recommendations in the U.S. are made at the federal level but vaccine laws are made at the state level. It is at the state level where your action to protect your vaccine choice rights can have the greatest impact.

It is critical for EVERYONE to get involved now in standing up for the legal right to make voluntary vaccine choices in America because those choices are being seriously threatened.

Not only are lobbyists representing drug companies, medical trade associations and public health officials trying to persuade legislators to strip all vaccine exemptions from public health laws, but global political operatives lobbying the United Nations and World Health Organization are determined to take away the human right to autonomy and protection of bodily integrity.

We must take action to defend our constitutional republic and civil liberties, including the right to autonomy, in America. That includes reforming oppressive mandatory vaccination laws and stopping the digital health ID that will make vaccine passports a reality for us, our children and grandchildren if we don't take action today.

Signing up to use the free online Advocacy Portal sponsored by the National Vaccine Information Center at [www.NVICAdvocacy.org](http://www.NVICAdvocacy.org) gives you immediate, easy access to your own state and federal legislators on your smartphone or computer so you can make your voice heard.

NVIC will keep you up to date on the latest bills threatening to eliminate — or expand — your legal right to make vaccine choices and give you guidance about what you can do to support or oppose those bills. So, please, as your first step, sign up for the NVIC Advocacy Portal. [Click here to join.](#)

## Share Your Story With Your Legislators and People You Know

If you or a family member has suffered a serious vaccine reaction, injury or death, please talk about it. If we don't share information and experiences with one another, everybody feels alone and afraid to speak up.

If you want to protect your legal right to say "no" to vaccines you do not believe are safe or effective, make an appointment to personally talk with someone you have elected to office at the local, state and federal level or write a letter in your own words stating your concerns.

Attend school board and city council and town hall meetings in your community that will impact your right to know and freedom to make decisions about how you or your children will live and stay healthy. If you have a different perspective on a story about vaccination that appears in your local newspaper, write a letter to the editor.

I must be frank with you: You have to be brave because there is a lot of censorship of conversations that challenge "official" narratives about vaccination. You likely will be strongly criticized for daring to talk about the "other side" of the vaccine story and for defending your informed consent rights. Be prepared for it and have the courage to stand your ground.



Only by sharing our perspective and what we know to be true will the public conversation about vaccination open up so people are not afraid to talk about it.

While our rights are being threatened, the vaccine injured are being swept under the carpet and treated like nothing more than statistically acceptable “collateral damage” of one-size-fits-all mandatory vaccination laws. Way too many people are being put at risk for injury and death and there is nothing scientific or moral about that. We should not be treating human beings like guinea pigs.

\*

Note to readers: Please click the share button above. Follow us on Instagram and Twitter and subscribe to our Telegram Channel. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

## Notes

<sup>1</sup> [YouTube Global Ag Media 2018](#)

<sup>2</sup> [Missouri House bill 1169](#)

<sup>3</sup> [Conservative Treehouse April 9, 2023](#)

<sup>4, 14</sup> [Twitter Tom Renz April 1, 2023](#)

<sup>5</sup> [Daily Clout April 2, 2023](#)

<sup>6</sup> [Tom Renz Substack April 2, 2023](#)

<sup>7</sup> [Merck Animal Health, Sequivity](#)

<sup>8</sup> [ZoetisUS.com Product Comparison Chart](#)

<sup>9</sup> [Peter McCullough Substack April 7, 2023](#)

<sup>10</sup> [bioRxiv December 20, 2022](#)

<sup>11</sup> [Vaccines December 2021; 9\(12\): 1403, Section: 5.6. mRNA Vaccines](#)

<sup>12</sup> [NCBA Statement April 6, 2023](#)

<sup>13</sup> [Southeast AgNet April 10, 2023](#)

<sup>15</sup> [Agri-pulse June 22, 2022](#)

<sup>16</sup> [Texas Department of Agriculture April 3, 2023](#)

<sup>17</sup> [Missouri Times Lobbyist Profile](#)

<sup>18</sup> [Twitter Tom Renz April 10,k 2023](#)

<sup>19</sup> [Iowa State University Novel mRNA Vaccine for Bovine Respiratory Syncytial Virus](#)

<sup>20</sup> [Swine Vaccine Protocol](#)

<sup>21</sup> [Cell January 24, 2022; 185\(6\): 1025-1040.E14](#)

<sup>22</sup> [Clark County Today February 14, 2022](#)

<sup>23</sup> [FAO Meat Cutting](#)

<sup>24</sup> [Cowboy State Daily April 5, 2023](#)

<sup>25</sup> [Twitter White Oak Pasture April 10, 2023](#)

The original source of this article is [Mercola](#)  
Copyright © [Dr. Joseph Mercola](#), [Mercola](#), 2023

---

**[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)**

**[Become a Member of Global Research](#)**

Articles by: [Dr. Joseph Mercola](#)

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)  
[www.globalresearch.ca](http://www.globalresearch.ca) contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)